## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF (STATE OF COMMERCE OF COM		No. 3:09-cv-00419-MMD-WGC
		CLASS ACTION
of All Others Similarly Situated, )		ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATIONS OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS
No.	Plaintiff, )	ALLOCATIONS OF SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS
vs.	ý	
INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY, ) et al.,		
	Defendants.	

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the Plan of Allocation of the net settlement proceeds in the above-captioned action; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises;

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated as of March 28, 2012 (the "Stipulation").
- 2. Pursuant to and in full compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all Persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members, including individual notice to those who could be identified with reasonable effort, advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to all Persons and entities who are Members of the Settlement Class to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.
- 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants which is set forth in the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among Settlement Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice is in all respects fair and reasonable and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:

October 19, 2012

THE HONORABLE MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Submitted by:

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP
ARTHUR C. LEAHY
JEFFREY D. LIGHT
BRIAN O. O'MARA
MATTHEW I. ALPERT
PHONG L. TRAN

s/ Brian O. O'Mara BRIAN O. O'MARA

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

THE O'MARA LAW FIRM, P.C. WILLIAM M. O'MARA DAVID C. O'MARA 311 East Liberty Street Reno, NV 89501 Telephone: 775/323-1321 775/323-4082 (fax)

Liaison Counsel